

Correlation Coefficient: Physicochemical Aspect of Well Water Anadur Village from Osmanabad, MS India

D.N.Gatlewar^a, V. G. Mane^a, G. T. Rathod^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Jawahar ASC College, Anadur ^bDepartment of Zoology, Jawahar ASC College, Anadur

Submitted: 10-05-2022

Revised: 19-05-2022

Accepted: 22-05-2022

ABSTRACT:

The Physicochemical analysis of the effluents (waste) of the Anadur well water sample has beencarried out in the present investigation as per the methods recommended by APHA, WHO, ICMR,Present sample is highly contaminated by the presenceoforganic andinorganic salt above the permissible limit suggested by the International Standards. Itshows a largedeviation fromtheviewofthe correlationcoefficient.

Key words:

Naldurg well water sample, pH meter, Conductometer, Titration method and Gravimetric method and Correlation Coefficient.

I. INTRODUCTION:

The water is being polluted and thushuman life is adversely affected. The high levels of pollution are mainly due to 1) Modernization, 11) urbanization 111) Industrialization andIV) Overcrowding. So water is being highlypolluted. Yet no physicochemical studies are reported fromthis present region water sample, therefore, this sample is selected foranalysis, in order touse for irrigation and maybetoa certainextent for drinking purposes also.

II. METHOD AND MATERIALS:

The sample Selected physicochemical analysis belongs Groundwater to pollution, consequently irrigation purposes and harmfulto the health of Human being as well. pH and conductance value of the sample is determined with thehelp of apH-meter and conductometer, while alkalinities, hardness, sulfates. chlorides, calcium, COD, and Carbon Dioxide areanalyzed by means of Titration methods, while TDS is calculated by theGravimetricmethod.

		Tublet I						
S	Sr.	Parameter	Winter	Summer	Rainy	Winter	Summer	Rainy
Ν	No	(Mg/L)	2020	2020	2020	2021	2021	2021
1		pH	7.40	7.54	7.39	7.36	7.57	9.70
2	2	Conductance	0.68	0.73	0.76	0.73	0.78	0.89
3	;	Carbon Dioxide	10.60	10.30	9.50	10.45	10.35	9.98
4		Total Dissolved	390	400	416	399	407	451
		Solids						
5	5	Alkalinity	265	278	295	264	270	289
6	5	Hardness	190	265	290	187	195	178
7	1	Sulphates	29	20	19	31	27	26
8	3	Chlorides	80	89	85	84	80	69
9)	COD	47	51	35	46	52	38

Observation Table: 1



Values of Correlation Coefficient of Anadur Well Water Sample:

Observation Table -2

2											
Sr.	X-	Y- (Parameter)	P- value	Covariance	Statistic	Correlation					
No	(Parameter)					Coefficient					
						Value (r)					
1	pН	Hardness		45.364	0.8882	0.4059					
2	pН	Hardness									
3	pН	Hardness	0 1216								
4	pН	Hardness	0.4240								
5	pН	Hardness									
6	pН	Hardness									
	1 2 3 4 5	1 pH 2 pH 3 pH 4 pH 5 pH	1pHHardness2pHHardness3pHHardness4pHHardness5pHHardness	1pHHardness2pHHardness3pHHardness4pHHardness5pHHardness	1pHHardness2pHHardness3pHHardness4pHHardness5pHHardness	1pHHardness2pHHardness3pHHardness4pHHardness5pHHardness					

Observation Table -3

~	Disci vation Table -5								
	Sr.	Х-	Y- (Parameter)	Р-	Covariance	Statistic	Correlation		
	No	(Parameter)		value			Coefficient		
							Value (r)		
	1	TDS	Hardness		- 2103.2667	- 1.3418	- 0.5571		
	2	TDS	Hardness						
	3	TDS	Hardness	0.2500					
	4	TDS	Hardness	0.2508					
	5	TDS	Hardness						
	6	TDS	Hardness						

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION:-

From this, it is found that the Andur well water sample is found belongs to Excellent Class-II considered throughout the season.(Table no.1,2,&3)The sample shows the minimum quantity of TDS 390 mg/L and a maximum of 451 mg/L.IS-10500 suggests the permissiblelimit of alkalinity for drinking purposes up to 200 mg/L, while USPH standards are 250 mg/L. This sample is found to show a minimum 264 mg/L and a maximum 289 mg/L. Thisshows that this sample is giving little respect to USPH. The observed quantity of Chlorideis found within the range 69-89 mg/L, whileUSPH suggestsIS-10500aswellasa permissible limit for chlorides up to 250 mg/Lfor drinking purposes. This shows that thequantity of chloride found is very less ascompared to the given standards. The recordedvalue of Sulphatesis found within the range 19-31 mg/L., while IS-10500 is suggesting thestandard limit of Sulphates for drinkingpurposes 150 mg/L, while USPH and WHOare suggesting the standard limits of Sulphates for drinking purposes are 250 mg/L. Thisshows that the quantity of sulfate found is very less as compared to the given standards.IS 10500suggeststhepermissiblelimitfor hardness mg/While WHO relaxesthe permissiblelimitupto500mg/L,butthe recorded between 178 290 values are to in mg/L.Thisshowsthatthequantity ofhardness compared to givenstandards is in the desired range.

Thisshowsthattheysamgivesving slight respect to IS-10500, but fails respect the WHO.Therecorded quantityofCOD is ranging from 35 mg/L 52 mg/L. ThequantityofConductance is found within0.68 mmoh/cm to 0.89mmoh/cm. The value of pHisranging from7.36to9.70. World Health Organization

(WHO)suggeststhepermissiblelimitfordrinkingquali tywithin6.5-8.5.1S-10500alsosuggestspermissible

limit of pH for drinking purposewithin(6.5-8.5).Thisshowsthatthissamplerespect to above said recordedvalueofCarbon

Dioxideisrangingwithin9.50 ml/L to 10.60 ml/L.

IV. CONCLUSION:

On the basis of above discussion, it can be concluded that in average all the parameter showing the remarkable positive and negative deviation from suggested standard limits (WHO &IS-OS00). Hence this water sample is found not suitable for drinking purpose. With respect to the values of pH and Hardness, result of Pearson correlation indicated that there is a non-significant medium positive relationship between X and Y.With respect to the values of TDS and Hardness, the result of Pearson correlation indicate that there is non-significant very small negative relationship between X and Y



REFERENCES:

- [1]. **APHA 1980**, Standard Method for Examination of Water and Wastewater, 15 thedn. New York, pp. 1134
- [2]. Bishop, JE (1973): Limnology of small Malayan River Sungai Gombak. Dr. W. Junk. Publisher. the Huge 485pp.
- [3]. D. N. Gatewar, G. T. Rathod & V. G. Mane (2015), Physicochemical analysis of Naldurg well water sample with respect to water quality index. Page. No.34.
- [4]. D. N. Gatewar, G. T. Rathod, V. G. Mane & A. M. Pachpinde(2020), Physicochemical analysis of Naldurg well water sample with respect to correlation coefficient. Our heritage UGC listed journal. Page. No.926-928.
- [5]. D. N. Gatewar, V. G. Mane, G. T. Rathod, (2022) Correlation Coefficient: Analysis of Well Water from rural area Jalkot, Tal. Tuljapur MS India, Journal of Imerging technologies and innovative research, Vol. 9, issue 4, Page 313-316
- [6]. **Dutta S. P.** (1978). Limnology of Gadigarh Stream, Miran Sahib, Jammu with special reference to inhabiting the stream Ph.D. Thesis, University of Jammu consumers.
- [7]. G. T. Rathod, D. N. Gatewar& C. V. Pawar (2017), Studies on biocontamination of Jalkotwadi (Nal) water tank in tuljapur taluka of Osmanabad

District, (M.S) India. IJRBAT. Vol.V, special issue (3), Page. No. 299-300.

- [8]. G. T. Rathod, V. G. Mane (2022), Turbidity, TDS and Transparency in water bodies of Jakkapur from Omerga Taluka (MS India), International Journal of Scientific research in Science and Technology, Vol. 9, Issue 9, Page No. 62-66
- [9]. Goel, P. K., Gopal, B. and Trivedy, R. K.(1980). Impact of sewage on fresh water ecosystem. I. General features of water bodies and sewage. J. Ecol. Environ. Sci. 6:83-96.
- [10]. Jhingran, V G. (1982) Fish and Fisheries of India. Hindustan Publishing Corporation, New Delhi pp. 268-269
- [11]. Khan MA and M. Aziz Hussain (1976): Indian J Environ. Hlth, 18(3) 227-232
- [12]. **Kodarkar M. S**. (1998): Methodology for Water Analysis IAAB. Publication No.2.
- [13]. Louis Klein, "River Pollution, Il-causes pund effect" 1967 London. Michaud, J. L 1989 Butterworth's, Patel and Sinha Environmental Pollution (2002)
- [14]. S. Das and D. K. Shrivastav, (1956) Quantitative Study on Fresh Water Plankton in a fish Tank in Lucknow, India Proc, Nat. Acad, Sci India, 26 85-92.
- [15]. Sharma Motiram and Varma P. S., Poll Res 22031369-372 (2003)